Analytical and numerical evidence of the cascade reversal due to electron inertia

G. Miloshevich ¹ S. Benavides ⁴ E. Tassi ^{2,3} P. J. Morrison ¹



¹Institute of Fusion University of Texas at Austin



²Laboratoire Lagrange ³CNRS

Centre de Physique Théorique

⁴Massachusetts Institute of Technology





Auburn, 2018

George Miloshevich (UT)

milosh@utexas.edu

Sherwood Meeting 2018

• □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

Outline







2

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >







3

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)





- 2 Results from analyzing 2D XMHD model
- Comparison with numerics

< □ > < 凸

э





- 2 Results from analyzing 2D XMHD model
- Comparison with numerics
- Conclusions and Future Work 4

э







Results from analyzing 2D XMHD model

- 3 Comparison with numerics
- 4 Conclusions and Future Work

э

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Motivation for extending MHD



• Attention in recent times focused on plasma turbulence at small scales

< □ > < 凸

Motivation for extending MHD



- Attention in recent times focused on plasma turbulence at small scales
- The examples are the Earth's magnetosphere and the solar wind



- Attention in recent times focused on plasma turbulence at small scales
- The examples are the Earth's magnetosphere and the solar wind
- We investigate direction of cascades





- Attention in recent times focused on plasma turbulence at small scales
- The examples are the Earth's magnetosphere and the solar wind
- We investigate direction of cascades
- MHD, known to have inverse cascade ^[1]

[1] U. Frisch et al., "Possibility of an inverse cascade of magnetic helicity in magnetohydrodynamic turbulence", Journal of Fluid Mechanics 68, 769-778 (1975)







- Attention in recent times focused on plasma turbulence at small scales
- The examples are the Earth's magnetosphere and the solar wind
- We investigate direction of cascades
- MHD, known to have inverse cascade ^[1]
- IC linked to the dynamo mechanism ^[2]

[1] U. Frisch et al., "Possibility of an inverse cascade of magnetic helicity in magnetohy-drodynamic turbulence", Journal of Fluid Mechanics 68, 769–778 (1975)
[2] A. Brandenburg, "The Inverse Cascade and Nonlinear Alpha-Effect in Simulations of Isotropic Helical Hydromagnetic Turbulence", Astrophysical Journal 550; 824=840 (2001).

George Miloshevich (UT)

milosh@utexas.edu





- Attention in recent times focused on plasma turbulence at small scales
- The examples are the Earth's magnetosphere and the solar wind
- We investigate direction of cascades
- MHD, known to have inverse cascade ^[1]
- IC linked to the dynamo mechanism ^[2]
- What are the effects of electron inertia?

 U. Frisch et al., "Possibility of an inverse cascade of magnetic helicity in magnetohydrodynamic turbulence", Journal of Fluid Mechanics 68, 769–778 (1975)
 A. Brandenburg, "The Inverse Cascade and Nonlinear Alpha-Effect in Simulations of Isotropic Helical Hydromagnetic Turbulence", Astrophysical Journal 550, 824=840 (2001).

George Miloshevich (UT)

milosh@utexas.edu





- Attention in recent times focused on plasma turbulence at small scales
- The examples are the Earth's magnetosphere and the solar wind
- We investigate direction of cascades
- MHD, known to have inverse cascade ^[1]
- IC linked to the dynamo mechanism ^[2]
- What are the effects of electron inertia?
- Electron inertia relevant in collisionless reconnection



 U. Frisch et al., "Possibility of an inverse cascade of magnetic helicity in magnetohydrodynamic turbulence", Journal of Fluid Mechanics 68, 769–778 (1975)
 A. Brandenburg, "The Inverse Cascade and Nonlinear Alpha-Effect in Simulations of Isotropic Helical Hydromagnetic Turbulence", Astrophysical Journal 550; 824=840 (2001).

George Miloshevich (UT)

milosh@utexas.edu



Electron inertia in 2D Extended MHD (XMHD)

• We consider a model that adds a scale to reduced MHD

Electron inertia in 2D Extended MHD (XMHD)

- We consider a model that adds a scale to reduced MHD
- The scales: d_e electron skin depth



Electron inertia in 2D Extended MHD (XMHD)

- We consider a model that adds a scale to reduced MHD
- The scales: d_e electron skin depth

$$d_e^2 = c^2/(\omega_{pe}^2 L^2)$$

• We adopt Alfvénic units normalized to a typical length scale L.

Electron inertia in 2D Extended MHD (XMHD)

- We consider a model that adds a scale to reduced MHD
- The scales: d_e electron skin depth

$$d_e^2 = c^2 / (\omega_{pe}^2 L^2)$$

- We adopt Alfvénic units normalized to a typical length scale L.
- For simplicity we assume incompressible, 2D scenario:

 $\boldsymbol{B}(x, y, t) = B_0 \hat{z} + \nabla \psi(x, y, t) \times \hat{z} \qquad \boldsymbol{V}_{\perp}(x, y, t) = -\nabla \phi(x, y, t) \times \hat{z} \qquad (1)$

Electron inertia in 2D Extended MHD (XMHD)

- We consider a model that adds a scale to reduced MHD
- The scales: d_e electron skin depth

$$d_e^2 = c^2/(\omega_{pe}^2 L^2)$$

- We adopt Alfvénic units normalized to a typical length scale L.
- For simplicity we assume incompressible, 2D scenario:

$$B(x, y, t) = B_0 \hat{z} + \nabla \psi(x, y, t) \times \hat{z} \qquad V_{\perp}(x, y, t) = -\nabla \phi(x, y, t) \times \hat{z} \qquad (1)$$
• Dynamics can be described by
$$\frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} = -[\phi, \psi^*] \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} = -[\phi, \omega] - [\nabla^2 \psi, \psi^*], \qquad (2)$$

$$[\phi, \omega] := \hat{z} \cdot \nabla \phi \times \nabla \omega$$

Electron inertia in 2D Extended MHD (XMHD)

- We consider a model that adds a scale to reduced MHD
- The scales: d_e electron skin depth

$$d_e^2 = c^2/(\omega_{pe}^2 L^2)$$

- We adopt Alfvénic units normalized to a typical length scale L.
- For simplicity we assume incompressible, 2D scenario:

$$B(x, y, t) = B_0 \hat{z} + \nabla \psi(x, y, t) \times \hat{z} \qquad V_{\perp}(x, y, t) = -\nabla \phi(x, y, t) \times \hat{z} \qquad (1)$$
• Dynamics can be described by
$$\frac{\partial \psi^{\star}}{\partial t} = -[\phi, \psi^{\star}] \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} = -[\phi, \omega] - [\nabla^2 \psi, \psi^{\star}], \qquad (2)$$

$$\psi^{\star} := \psi - d_e^2 \nabla^2 \psi \qquad (5)$$
• In this case we only have two quadratic Casimir Invariants^[3].
$$G = \int d^2 x \, \omega \psi^{\star}, \quad F = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2 x \, (\psi^{\star})^2 \qquad (3)$$

[3] T. J. Schep et al., "Generalized twofluid theory of nonlinear magnetic structures", Physics of Plasmas 1, 2843–2852 (1994)

George Miloshevich (UT)

milosh@utexas.edu

Sherwood Meeting 2018







Results from analyzing 2D XMHD model 2

- Conclusions and Future Work

< □ > < 凸

э





Figure: Schematics of the R-K Direct Cascade (DC) from large to small scales.

• The cascade direction can be determined from the AES the turbulence would relax to, if not for the input of energy ^[4]

[4] D. Biskamp, Magnetohydrodynamic Turbulence, (July 2003), p. 310





Figure: Schematics of the R-K Direct Cascade (DC) from large to small scales.

• The cascade direction can be determined from the AES the turbulence would relax to, if not for the input of energy ^[4]

$$\mathcal{P} = Z^{-1} \exp[-\alpha H - \beta F - \gamma G]$$

[4] D. Biskamp, Magnetohydrodynamic Turbulence, (July 2003), p. 310





Figure: Schematics of the R-K Direct Cascade (DC) from large to small scales.

• The cascade direction can be determined from the AES the turbulence would relax to, if not for the input of energy ^[4]



[4] D. Biskamp, Magnetohydrodynamic Turbulence, (July 2003), p. 310





Figure: Schematics of the R-K Direct Cascade (DC) from large to small scales.

 The cascade direction can be determined from the AES the turbulence would relax to, if not for the input of energy ^[4]

Partition Function $\mathscr{P} = Z^{-1} \exp[-\alpha H - \beta F - \gamma G]$ Integral of Motion Probability Distribution Lagrange multiplier

- \bullet The approach has been invoked in HD $^{[5]}$ and MHD $^{[6]}$ turbulence
- 4] D. Biskamp, Magnetohydrodynamic Turbulence, (July 2003), p. 310

[5] R. H. Kraichnan, "Inertial Ranges in Two-Dimensional Turbulence", Physics of Fluids **10**, 1417–1423 (1967)

[6] U. Frisch et al., "Possibility of an inverse cascade of magnetic helicity in magnetohydrodynamic turbulence", Journal of Fluid Mechanics 68, 769–778 (1975) $\rightarrow 2$

George Miloshevich (UT)

milosh@utexas.edu



• Performing Fourier expansion, e.g. $\psi^{\star}(x) = \sum_{k} \psi^{\star}_{k} e^{ik \cdot x}$ for Casimirs:

$$F := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} |\psi_{k}^{\star}|^{2}, \quad \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \left(\frac{|\omega_{k}|^{2}}{k^{2}} + \frac{k^{2} |\psi_{k}^{\star}|^{2}}{1 + k^{2} d_{e}^{2}} \right), \dots$$



• Performing Fourier expansion, e.g. $\psi^{\star}(x) = \sum_{k} \psi^{\star}_{k} e^{ik \cdot x}$ for Casimirs:

$$F := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} |\psi_{k}^{\star}|^{2}, \quad \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \left(\frac{|\omega_{k}|^{2}}{k^{2}} + \frac{k^{2} |\psi_{k}^{\star}|^{2}}{1 + k^{2} d_{e}^{2}} \right), \dots$$

• We seek equilibrium states given by $\mathcal{P} = Z^{-1} \exp(-\alpha \mathcal{H} - \beta F - \gamma G) \Rightarrow$



• Performing Fourier expansion, e.g. $\psi^{\star}(x) = \sum_{k} \psi^{\star}_{k} e^{ik \cdot x}$ for Casimirs:

$$F := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} |\psi_{k}^{\star}|^{2}, \quad \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \left(\frac{|\omega_{k}|^{2}}{k^{2}} + \frac{k^{2} |\psi_{k}^{\star}|^{2}}{1 + k^{2} d_{e}^{2}} \right), \dots$$

• We seek equilibrium states given by $\mathcal{P} = Z^{-1} \exp(-\alpha \mathcal{H} - \beta F - \gamma G) \Rightarrow$

$$\langle F(k)\rangle = \left(\frac{\alpha\kappa}{1+k^2d_e^2} + \beta\right)^{-1} \tag{4}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



• Performing Fourier expansion, e.g. $\psi^{\star}(x) = \sum_{k} \psi^{\star}_{k} e^{ik \cdot x}$ for Casimirs:

$$F := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} |\psi_{k}^{\star}|^{2}, \quad \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \left(\frac{|\omega_{k}|^{2}}{k^{2}} + \frac{k^{2} |\psi_{k}^{\star}|^{2}}{1 + k^{2} d_{e}^{2}} \right), \dots$$

• We seek equilibrium states given by $\mathcal{P} = Z^{-1} \exp(-\alpha \mathcal{H} - \beta F - \gamma G) \Rightarrow$

$$\langle F(k)\rangle = \left(\frac{\alpha k^2}{1+k^2 d_e^2} + \beta\right)^{-1} \tag{4}$$

 $\langle G \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \gamma = 0$ and consider the MHD limit pertaining to $\alpha > 0^{[7]}$.

• MHD limit: $kd_e \ll 1 \Rightarrow 2\pi k \langle F \rangle \approx O(k^{-1}), \quad 2\pi k \langle \mathcal{H} \rangle \approx O(k)$



• Performing Fourier expansion, e.g. $\psi^{\star}(x) = \sum_{k} \psi^{\star}_{k} e^{ik \cdot x}$ for Casimirs:

$$F := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} |\psi_{k}^{\star}|^{2}, \quad \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \left(\frac{|\omega_{k}|^{2}}{k^{2}} + \frac{k^{2} |\psi_{k}^{\star}|^{2}}{1 + k^{2} d_{e}^{2}} \right), \dots$$

• We seek equilibrium states given by $\mathcal{P} = Z^{-1} \exp(-\alpha \mathcal{H} - \beta F - \gamma G) \Rightarrow$

$$\langle F(k)\rangle = \left(\frac{\alpha \kappa^2}{1+k^2 d_e^2} + \beta\right)^{-1} \tag{4}$$

 $\langle G \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \gamma = 0$ and consider the MHD limit pertaining to $\alpha > 0^{[7]}$.

• MHD limit: $kd_e \ll 1 \Rightarrow 2\pi k \langle F \rangle \approx O(k^{-1}), \quad 2\pi k \langle \mathcal{H} \rangle \approx O(k)$ • XMHD limit: $kd_e \gg 1 \Rightarrow 2\pi k \langle F \rangle \approx O(k^{+1}), \quad 2\pi k \langle \mathcal{H} \rangle \approx O(k)$



Cascade Reversal at the electron skin depth

• The following plots represent generic situation in two regimes:

Cascade Reversal at the electron skin depth \star

• The following plots represent generic situation in two regimes:



Figure: The parameters used here are $\alpha = 10$, $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = \{0, -.75, 1\}$ is varied so that different values of G are obtained (color-coded).

• We predict a cascade reversal of F at the electron skin depth ^[8]







Results from analyzing 2D XMHD model





э

7 / 12

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Comparison with numerics

Performing pseudospectral simulations



• The problem with AES is that they ignore the effects of dissipation



- The problem with AES is that they ignore the effects of dissipation
- Moreover turbulence is far from equilibrium



- The problem with AES is that they ignore the effects of dissipation
- Moreover turbulence is far from equilibrium
- Thus we test the propositions with numerical simulations



- The problem with AES is that they ignore the effects of dissipation
- Moreover turbulence is far from equilibrium
- Thus we test the propositions with numerical simulations
- The pseudospectral code due to Pablo Mininni^[9] is modified



- The problem with AES is that they ignore the effects of dissipation
- Moreover turbulence is far from equilibrium
- Thus we test the propositions with numerical simulations
- The pseudospectral code due to Pablo Mininni^[9]is modified
- This code has been upgraded to take d_e into account

George Miloshevich (UT)



- The problem with AES is that they ignore the effects of dissipation
- Moreover turbulence is far from equilibrium
- Thus we test the propositions with numerical simulations
- The pseudospectral code due to Pablo Mininni^[9]is modified
- This code has been upgraded to take d_e into account



Comparison with numerics

Direct Numerical Simulations: Fluxes



• The source d_e is varied in the simulations and fluxes plotted



• The source d_e is varied in the simulations and fluxes plotted



Figure: Fluxes normalized to the total dissipation in the stationary regime.

9 / 12

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))



• The source d_e is varied in the simulations and fluxes plotted



Figure: Fluxes normalized to the total dissipation in the stationary regime.Energy and Helicity have Cascade Reversal.

9 / 12

(I) < (II) <



• The source d_e is varied in the simulations and fluxes plotted



Figure: Fluxes normalized to the total dissipation in the stationary regime.

- Energy and Helicity have Cascade Reversal.
- When $k_f < d_e^{-1}$ the system behaves like MHD

9 / 12

ヘロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト



• The source d_e is varied in the simulations and fluxes plotted



Figure: Fluxes normalized to the total dissipation in the stationary regime.

- Energy and Helicity have Cascade Reversal.
- When $k_f < d_e^{-1}$ the system behaves like MHD
- When $k_f > d_e^{-1}$ the cascade reversal occurs

George Miloshevich (UT)

9 / 12

コト 不得 ト イヨト イヨト

Comparison with numerics



Direct Numerical Simulations: Hypodissipation

• Parameter d_e is varied in the simulations and fluxes plotted

Direct Numerical Simulations: Hypodissipation

• Parameter d_e is varied in the simulations and fluxes plotted



Figure: \mathcal{E}^- Hypo-dissipation (measure of inverse cascade), normalized to the total dissipation $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E}^+ + \mathcal{E}^-$ for 512×512 and 1024×1024 simulations (legend).

Direct Numerical Simulations: Hypodissipation

• Parameter d_e is varied in the simulations and fluxes plotted



Figure: \mathcal{E}^- Hypo-dissipation (measure of inverse cascade), normalized to the total dissipation $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E}^+ + \mathcal{E}^-$ for 512×512 and 1024×1024 simulations (legend).

• As resolution is increased there are hints of critical behavior

Direct Numerical Simulations: Hypodissipation

• Parameter d_e is varied in the simulations and fluxes plotted



Figure: \mathcal{E}^- Hypo-dissipation (measure of inverse cascade), normalized to the total dissipation $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E}^+ + \mathcal{E}^-$ for 512×512 and 1024×1024 simulations (legend).

- As resolution is increased there are hints of critical behavior
- This type of transition has been observed in $2D \rightarrow 3D$ fluids^[10].

[10] S. J. Benavides and A. Alexakis, "Critical transitions in thin layer turbulence", Journalof Fluid Mechanics 822, 364385 (2017)

George Miloshevich (UT)

milosh@utexas.edu







Results from analyzing 2D XMHD model

3 Comparison with numerics



э

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >





• We studied AES taking microscales electron inertia into account.

э

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >





- We studied AES taking microscales electron inertia into account.
- We predicted cascade reversal on short scales due to $d_e^{[11]}$

Models	2D Analytics	2D Numerics	3D XMHD
Energy	Forward Cascade	Dual	Forward Cascade
Helicity	Reversal	Reversal	Reversal

11 / 12

< □ > < 凸

Summing up



- We studied AES taking microscales electron inertia into account.
- We predicted cascade reversal on short scales due to $d_e^{[11]}$
- Numerics demonstrate this, but Energy dual cascades.

Models	2D Analytics	2D Numerics	3D XMHD
Energy	Forward Cascade	Dual	Forward Cascade
Helicity	Reversal	Reversal	Reversal

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

^[11] G. Miloshevich et al., "On the structure and statistical theory of turbulence of extended magnetohydrodynamics", New Journal of Physics **19**, 015007, 015007 (2017)

Summing up



- We studied AES taking microscales electron inertia into account.
- We predicted cascade reversal on short scales due to $d_{e}^{[11]}$
- Numerics demonstrate this, but Energy dual cascades.

Models	2D Analytics	2D Numerics	3D XMHD
Energy	Forward Cascade	Dual	Forward Cascade
Helicity	Reversal	Reversal	Reversal

• The lack of IC in 3DXMHD at $k \le d_{\rho}^{-1}$ may influence dynamo ^[12]

[11] G. Miloshevich et al., "On the structure and statistical theory of turbulence of extended magnetohydrodynamics", New Journal of Physics 19, 015007, 015007 (2017) [12] A. Alexakis et al., "On the inverse cascade of magnetic helicity", The Astrophysical Journal 640. 335 (2006) N 4 E N George Miloshevich (UT) 11 / 12



• Run more numerics including the effects of ion sound Larmor radius

< □ > < 凸

э



- Run more numerics including the effects of ion sound Larmor radius
- Explaining the critical nature of the transition



- Run more numerics including the effects of ion sound Larmor radius
- Explaining the critical nature of the transition
- Applications to turbulent collisionless reconnection



Figure: Artist's illustration of a GRB occurring in a star-forming region. Energy is beamed into two narrow jets.

[13] Y. Kawazura et al., "Action principles for relativistic extended magnetohydrodynamics: A unified theory of magnetofluid models", Physics of Plasmas 24, 022103, 022103
 (2017)



- Run more numerics including the effects of ion sound Larmor radius
- Explaining the critical nature of the transition
- Applications to turbulent collisionless reconnection
- New Hamiltonian Relativistic XMHD may allow us to seek Casimirs^[13]



Figure: Artist's illustration of a GRB occurring in a star-forming region. Energy is beamed into two narrow jets.

[13] Y. Kawazura et al., "Action principles for relativistic extended magnetohydrodynamics: A unified theory of magnetofluid models", Physics of Plasmas 24, 022103, 022103 (2017)
George Miloshevich (UT)
milosh@utexas.edu
Sherwood Meeting 2018
12/12



- Run more numerics including the effects of ion sound Larmor radius
- Explaining the critical nature of the transition
- Applications to turbulent collisionless reconnection
- New Hamiltonian Relativistic XMHD may allow us to seek Casimirs^[13]
- Thus the turbulent relativistic XMHD cascades can be investigated



Figure: Artist's illustration of a GRB occurring in a star-forming region. Energy is beamed into two narrow jets.

[13] Y. Kawazura et al., "Action principles for relativistic extended magnetohydrodynamics: A unified theory of magnetofluid models", Physics of Plasmas 24, 022103, 022103
 (2017) Correct Miloshevich (UT) Milosh@utexas.edu Sherwood Meeting 2018 12/12



- Run more numerics including the effects of ion sound Larmor radius
- Explaining the critical nature of the transition
- Applications to turbulent collisionless reconnection
- New Hamiltonian Relativistic XMHD may allow us to seek Casimirs^[13]
- Thus the turbulent relativistic XMHD cascades can be investigated
- We hope the astrophysics/plasma community will find our work useful



Figure: Artist's illustration of a GRB occurring in a star-forming region. Energy is beamed into two narrow jets.

[13] Y. Kawazura et al., "Action principles for relativistic extended magnetohydrodynamics: A unified theory of magnetofluid models", Physics of Plasmas 24, 022103, 022103
 (2017) Correct Miloshevich (UT) Milosh@utexas.edu Sherwood Meeting 2018 12/12